

UPCES COURSE EVALUATION

Name of Course: Housing Market in Central European Context

Name of Instructor: Petr Zemčik

4,7

Disagree ----- Agree

Lectures

1 2 3 4 5

1. Lectures were presented in a clear manner	4,5
2. Lectures went beyond mere summary of the readings.	4,5
3. Lectures were at an appropriate level of difficulty	4,0
4. The lectures were interesting and stimulating.	4,5
5. The lectures increased my insight into the course material.	4,5

Readings & Assignments

1. The readings contributed to my understanding of the course material.	4,5
2. The assignments were relevant and thought provoking.	5,0
3. Discussions and assignments contributed to my intellectual growth.	4,5

Instructor

1. The instructor clearly understood the material presented.	5,0
2. The instructor was organized and prepared for class.	5,0
3. The instructor raised challenging questions and stimulated interest in the material.	5,0
4. The instructor was interested in student comments and encouraged student participation.	5,0
5. The instructor was responsive to student difficulties, comments and criticisms.	5,0

Overall

1. This course increased my understanding of Central Europe and the Czech Republic.	5,0
2. I would take another course from this instructor provided the subject matter was of interest to me.	4,5

Course Evaluation Comment Sheet

1. Please comment on what you liked most about this course.

- The professor was very engaging and knowledgeable about the subject. As a result, he was able to add very insightful points to build on the readings and studies we focused on. Also, he could connect topics we studied to events in history or even in his personal life.
- This course had many relevancy materials related to my future studies and has helped to clarify concepts of economics.

2. Please comment of what you liked least about this course, including specific suggestions to improve the course material and/or course format.

- I liked least the three hour length of each class. It is difficult to take in so much information in one sitting. Maybe splitting up the class to meet twice a week for 1,5 hours would be more beneficial.
- Provide fewer, more essential reading.

3. Please make any specific comments (both positive and negative) regarding the performance of the instructor in the course.

- Petr Zemčik has been an excellent instructor, had has been able to give me a very comprehensive background on the housing markets in CEE and also how they compare to the US market.
- The instructor was very well organized and the flow of the course was nice. Also, please be careful of hetero normativity.

4. Please suggest any material or topics you think should be dropped from or added to the course the next time it is offered.

- All topics were necessary so none should be dropped. Maybe we could have spoken more about mortgage backed securities given the recent crisis, yet we did touch on the matter.
- Fewer readings.

5. Did you find this course a valuable addition to your study abroad in Central Europe? Why or why not?

- This course was incredibly valuable to my study abroad experience. I learned a great deal about the economy and Czech culture as well.
- Yes, because I am interested in the way people live (housing).

6. Any additional comments?

- Economics should be a required prerequisite. I can tell that I would have gotten more from the course.